

Application Number 09/963,806
Responsive to Office Action mailed March 23, 2006

REMARKS

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated March 23, 2006. Applicant has amended claims 1, 11, 16, 20, 29, 34, 38, 44, 46, 47, 50, 51, 52, 64 and 65, and cancelled claims 6, 12, 25 and 30. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 14-18, 20-24, 26-29, 32-36, 38, 40-47 and 50-66 are pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed claims 16-18, 43, 58-63 and 66. In addition, the Examiner indicated that claims 34-36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101. The Examiner objected to claims 6, 12, 25 and 30 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that such claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Amendments

In this Amendment, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include the limitations of allowable claim 6, independent claim 11 to include the limitations of allowable claim 12, and independent claim 29 to include the limitations of allowable claim 30. In addition, Applicant has amended independent claim 20 to include the limitations of claim 25, but not the limitations of intervening claim 24. Amended claim 20 is similar in scope to amended claim 1, which has been indicated to be allowable, upon inclusion of the limitations of claim 6. Amended claims 1, 11, 20 and 29, and pertinent dependent claims, should now be allowable, in light of these amendments and the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 6, 12, 25 and 30.

Applicant also has amended claim 34 to refer to a "processor-readable data storage medium" and to specify that the symbolic name is provided from the server to a user. In this manner, amended claim 34 should overcome the rejections under sections 101 and 112, second paragraph.

Applicant has amended claim 43 to specify that output is provided from a server to a client interface. In this manner, amended claim 43 should overcome the rejection under section 112, second paragraph.